Table 5. Some relevant torsion angles (°)

Exocyclic Cyclohexanedione ring Cyclohexanone ring C(8)-C(14)-C(15)-O(3)56.9 (3) C(8)-C(13)-C(12)-C(11)-18.8(7)C(8)-C(2)-C(1)-C(21)-64.8(3)-123.9(4)C(2)-C(1)-C(21)-C(20)33.1(4)C(13)-C(12)-C(11)-C(10)9.2(11)C(8)-C(14)-C(15)-C(19)C(20)-C(14)-C(15)-C(19)3.6(5)C(12)-C(11)-C(10)-C(9)11.8 (11) C(1)-C(21)-C(20)-C(14)28.0(4)-22.7(7)C(8)-C(2)-C(3)-O(2)72.8(3) C(11)-C(10)-C(9)-C(8)C(21)-C(20)-C(14)-C(8)-63.0 (3) -104.6(4)C(8)-C(2)-C(3)-C(7)12.5 (5) C(20)-C(14)-C(8)-C(2)C(10)-C(9)-C(8)-C(13) $31 \cdot 3(3)$ C(9)-C(8)-C(13)-C(12)7.6 (4) C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(7)22.9 (5) C(14)-C(8)-C(2)-C(1)30.5(3)C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-O(2)-159.7(3)

C(11)-C(10)-C(9) [118.5 (4)°] are larger than expected for tetrahedral angles. These observations may be related to the large thermal parameters of C(10), C(11) and C(12), possibly indicating some disorder in the cyclohexanedione ring, the nature of which has not been analysed further.

Some endocyclic and exocyclic torsion angles are listed in Table 5. The torsion angles in the cyclohexanone ring $(-64.8, 33.1, 28.0, -63.0, 31.3, 30.5^{\circ})$ are near to the ideal twist-boat torsion angles -70.6, $33.2, 33.2, -70.6, 33.2, 33.2^{\circ}$ (Pazdernik, Brisse & Rivest, 1977); the cyclohexanedione ring also has the twist-boat configuration, but less pronounced.

The methylfuryl rings are flat; the maximum deviation of the atoms from the least-squares plane is 0.004Å in both rings. Intermolecular distances correspond to van der Waals contacts and no strong intermolecular interactions are apparent.

References

- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321–324.
- GERMAIN, G., MAIN, P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. A 27, 368–376.
- PAZDERNIK, L., BRISSE, F. & RIVEST, R. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 1780–1785.
- STEWART, J. M., KRUGER, G. J., AMMON, H. L., DICKINSON, C. & HALL, S. R. (1972). The XRAY system – version of June 1972. Tech. Rep. TR-192, Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Acta Cryst. (1979). B35, 1915-1917

cis-Bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-one p-Nitrophenylhydrazone

BY PETER MURRAY-RUST, JUDITH MURRAY-RUST AND ALISTAIR BROWN

Department of Chemistry, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, Scotland

AND ROGER F. NEWTON

Glaxo Group Research Ltd, Ware, Hertfordshire SG12 0DJ, England

(Received 5 April 1979; accepted 23 April 1979)

Abstract. $C_{13}H_{13}N_3O_2$, $M_r = 243\cdot26$, monoclinic, C2/c, $a = 33\cdot55$ (5), $b = 4\cdot72$ (5), $c = 31\cdot11$ (5) Å, $\beta =$ 97.83 (2)° from diffractometer measurements (Mo $K\overline{\alpha}$ radiation), V = 4876 Å³, Z = 16, $D_c = 1\cdot32$ Mg m⁻³, F(000) = 2048, $\mu = 0.055$ mm⁻¹, approximate crystal dimensions $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ mm. The molecules are linked into hydrogen-bonded tetramers.

Introduction. Systematic absences (from precession photographs) hkl: h + k odd and h0l: l odd indicated space group C2/c. Data were collected for h0-3l with $\theta_{max} = 23.75^{\circ}$ on a Stoe STADI-2 two-circle 0567-7408/79/081915-03\$01.00

diffractometer (graphite-monochromated Mo $K_{\overline{\alpha}}$ radiation). This gave 2968 data of which 1301 unique reflexions with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were used in subsequent calculations. Lorentz and polarization corrections (but none for extinction or absorption) were applied, and the data scaled by a Wilson plot. The structure was solved by direct phasing methods with SHELX 76 (Sheldrick, 1976), which was used for all calculations. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement (including isotropic H atoms) converged at R = 0.066 for © 1979 International Union of Crystallography

Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	(×10 ⁴)	with		
e.s.d.'s in parentheses								

	x	У	Ζ
C(1A)	2073 (2)	-2712(19)	1733 (2)
C(1B)	-1102(2)	14507 (18)	2078 (2)
C(2A)	2297 (3)	-653 (24)	2045 (2)
C(2B)	-1254 (2)	12800 (23)	2434 (3)
C(3A)	2645 (2)	142 (22)	1925 (2)
C(3B)	-964 (3)	11764 (23)	2714 (2)
C(4A)	2734 (2)	-1192 (18)	1521 (2)
C(4 <i>B</i>)	-554 (2)	12545 (21)	2617 (2)
C(5A)	2332 (2)	-2691 (17)	1352 (2)
C(5 <i>B</i>)	-638 (2)	14072 (19)	2179 (2)
C(6A)	2014 (1)	-818 (16)	1109 (1)
C(6 <i>B</i>)	-663 (2)	12239 (17)	1787 (2)
C(7A)	1720 (2)	-1330 (17)	1431 (2)
C(7B)	-1103 (2)	12862 (17)	1641 (2)
C(10A)	1684 (1)	4276 (15)	328 (1)
C(10B)	-245(1)	7347 (15)	1134 (1)
$C(\Pi A)$	2017(1)	4662 (15)	105 (1)
$C(\Pi B)$	149(1)	/042 (16)	1352(1)
C(12A)	2001 (1)	5165 (17)	-231(1)
C(12D)	1649 (2)	8153 (16)	1192(2)
C(13R)	281(2)	3623 (17)	-333(2)
C(14A)	1315(1)	7808 (16)	-117(2)
C(14B)	-104(2)	3968 (18)	594(2)
C(15A)	1334 (1)	5891 (16)	210(2)
C(15B)	-365 (1)	5856 (16)	752 (1)
N(8A)	2035 (1)	813 (12)	791 (1)
N(8 <i>B</i>)	-399 (1)	10653 (13)	1661 (1)
N(9 <i>A</i>)	1694 (1)	2437 (12)	667(1)
N(9 <i>B</i>)	-515(1)	9127 (12)	1287 (1)
N(16A)	1630 (1)	10199 (15)	-682 (2)
N(16 <i>B</i>)	557 (2)	1617 (16)	664 (2)
O(17A)	1931 (1)	10620 (12)	-865 (1)
O(1/B)	886(1)	1208 (14)	898(1)
O(18A)	1319(1)	11018 (11)	-787(1)
U(10D)	2007	473 (13)	315(2)
H(1R)A	-1266	-4376	2060
H(1LA)	2289	3464	106
H(11B)	251	8271	1641
H(12A)	2252	6836	-411
H(12B)	705	4809	1352
H(14A)	1054	9075	-208
H(14 <i>B</i>)	-190	2816	299
H(15A)	1072	5496	370
H(15 <i>B</i>)	-664	6058	592
H(2A)A	2209	76	2341
H(2B)A	-1574	12416	2437
$\Pi(3A)A$ $\Pi(3B)A$	2845	1/80	2093
H(4 A) A	2807	332	1284
H(4A)B	2979	-2700	1585
H(4B)A	-407	13909	2864
H(4 <i>B</i>) <i>B</i>	-373	10651	2593
H(5 <i>A</i>)A	2423	-4564	1193
H(5 <i>B</i>)A	-420	15737	2203
H(7A)A	1590	564	1550
H(7A)B	1484	-2806	1316
H(7 <i>B</i>)A	-1164	14223	1352
H(7 <i>B</i>) <i>B</i>	-1297	11045	1595
H(9A)A	1436	2221	832
п(9В)А	-81/	9321	1117

h 1301 observed reflexions $(R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|)$; $R_w = 0.047 \{R_w = (\sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| w^{1/2}) / (\sum |F_o| w^{1/2}),$ $w = 3.75 / [\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.00003 F_o^2] \}$. In the final cycle all shifts in parameters were less than their e.s.d.'s. Positional parameters are given in Table 1, bond distances and angles in Table 2.*

Discussion. Bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-one (II) is an important intermediate in a recent total synthesis of prostaglandins (Dimsdale, Newton, Rainey, Webb, Lee & Roberts, 1977). It shows a remarkable regio- and stereoselectivity in adding the elements of HOBr which is not found in the lactone (III). We are undertaking a survey of the geometries of derivatives of (II) and (III) to try to isolate steric factors which may affect the

Table 2. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.'sin parentheses

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
C(4A) - C(5A) = 1.443 (11) $C(13A) - V(10A) = 1.443 (3)C(4A) - C(5A) = 1.547 (9)$ $C(13B) - C(14B) = 1.393 (7)$	
C(13B) = C(14B) 1.393 (7)	
C(A,B) = C(5,B) = 1.53A(10) = C(13,D) = N(14,D) = 1.455(10)	
C(4B) = C(5B) 1.534 (10) $C(15B) = F(10B)$ 1.455 (10) C(5A) = C(14A) = C(15A) 1.257 (0)	
C(5A) = C(6A) 1.308 (9) $C(14A) = C(15A)$ 1.357 (9)	
C(5B) = C(5B) = 1.488 (10) = C(15B) = 1.385 (9)	
C(6A) = C(7A) 1.517(8) $N(8A) = N(9A)$ 1.388(6)	
C(6A) = N(8A) 1.202(7) $N(8B) = N(9B)$ 1.378(6)	
C(0B) = C(7B) 1.513(8) $N(16A) = O(17A)$ 1.241(7)	
C(0B) = N(8B) 1.201(8) $N(16A) = O(18A)$ 1.247(7)	
C(10A) = C(11A) = 1.407(6) $N(16B) = O(17B) = 1.249(7)$	
C(10A) - C(15A) = 1.406(7) $N(16B) - O(18B) = 1.218(8)$	
C(5A) - C(1A) - C(2A) 101.8(6) $N(9A) - C(10A) - C(15A)$ 118.	5 (4)
C(7A)-C(1A)-C(2A) 113.2 (7) $C(15B)-C(10B)-C(11B)$ 120.	2 (5)
C(7A) - C(1A) - C(5A) = 89.8(5) = N(9B) - C(10B) - C(11B) = 121.	3 (5)
$C(5B)-C(1B)-C(2B) = 102 \cdot 1(6) = N(9B)-C(10B)-C(15B) = 118$	5 (4)
C(7B)-C(1B)-C(2B) 114.3 (7) $C(12A)-C(11A)-C(10A)$ 120.	5 (5)
C(7B)-C(1B)-C(5B) = 89.6(5) = C(12B)-C(11B)-C(10B) = 118.	9(5)
C(3A) - C(2A) - C(1A) = 112.5(7) = C(13A) - C(12A) - C(11A) = 118.	1(5)
C(3R) - C(2R) - C(1R) 113.1 (7) $C(13R) - C(12R) - C(11R)$ 120.	8 (5)
$C(4A) - C(3A) - C(2A) = 114 \cdot 0(7) = C(12A) - C(12A) - C(12A) = 123$	4 (6)
C(4R) = C(3R) = C(2R) 113.3(7) $N(16A) = C(13A) = C(12A)$ 118.	4 (0) 6 (5)
C(5A) = C(4A) = C(3A) 102.6 (5) $N(16A) = C(13A) = C(14A)$ 119	0(3)
C(5R) = C(4R) = C(3R) $103.5(5)$ $C(14R) = C(13R) = C(12R)$ 121	0(3)
$C(4A) = C(5A) = C(1A)$ $107.2(5)$ $N(16B) = C(13B) = C(12B)$ 121°	2 (5)
C(6A) = C(5A) = C(1A) $1072(5)$ $N(16B) = C(13B) = C(12B)$ 119 .	3 (J) 7 (G)
C(6A) - C(5A) - C(1A) = 0.000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.000) + 0.0000 (0.	(0)
C(AR) = C(5R) = C(1R) 107.3 (6) $C(15R) = C(14R) = C(15R)$ 119.	0(5)
C(FB) = C(SB) = C(1B) 107-3 (0) $C(1SB) = C(1FA) = C(1SB)$ 110- C(FB) = C(SB) = C(1B) 98-2 (5) $C(1FA) = C(1FA) = C(1FA)$ 120	5(3)
C(5B) = C(5B) = C(1B) (38.2 (5) $C(14A) = C(15A) = C(10A)$ (20.	(3)
C(3B) = C(3B) = C(4B) 110.0(7) $C(14B) = C(13B) = C(10B)$ 120.	3 (4)
C(7A) = C(6A) = C(5A) 93.1 (5) $N(9A) = N(8A) = C(6A)$ 115.	1(4)
N(0A) - C(0A) - C(0A) = 129.8(5) N(9B) - N(8B) - C(0B) = 116.	3 (4)
N(8A) - C(0A) - C(7A) = 136.3(6) N(8A) - N(9A) - C(10A) = 119.	9 (4)
C(7B) - C(6B) - C(5B) 94.4(5) N(8B) - N(9B) - C(10B) 119.	3 (4)
N(8B) - C(6B) - C(5B) = 129.5(5) = O(1/A) - N(16A) - C(13A) = 119.	7 (5)
N(8B) - C(0B) - C(1B) 136.0 (6) $O(18A) - N(16A) - C(13A)$ 120.	3 (5)
C(0A) = C(1A) = C(1A) 87.5 (4) $O(18A) = N(16A) = O(17A)$ 120.	J (6)
$C(0B) - C(1B) = C(1B) = 87 \cdot 1 (4) = O(17B) - N(16B) - C(13B) = 117 \cdot 100 + $	3 (6)
C(13A) - C(10A) - C(11A) = 119.6 (5) O(18B) - N(16B) - C(13B) = 118.6 (5) O(18B) - N(16B) - N() (5)
N(9A) - C(10A) - C(11A) = 121.9(5) $O(18B) - N(16B) - O(17B) = 123.$	3 (7)

^{*} Lists of structure factors and thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34414 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

reaction. Since (II) is a liquid at room temperature we have studied the crystalline derivative (I) to investigate the conformations of the fused rings.



There are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1), hydrogen-bonded into a centrosymmetric tetramer $[N(9A)-H\cdots O(17B) = 2.96 \text{ Å};$ $N(9B)-H\cdots O(18A)(x, 2-y, z) = 2.94 \text{ Å}]$. The bond lengths and angles agree well between the two molecules, but the conformations (Table 3) of the fused systems, although similar, are significantly different.

Table 3. Torsion angles (°) (e.s.d.'s ca 0.8°) for selected bonds in molecules A and B

Note that A has the chirality shown in (I) whereas B is the enantiomer.

(

	A	В
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	-1	1
C(2) - C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	9	-6
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(1)	-13	9
C(4) - C(5) - C(1) - C(2)	13	-8
C(5)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	-8	5
C(1) - C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	-11	6
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(1)	11	-6
C(6) - C(7) - C(1) - C(5)	-11	6
C(7) - C(1) - C(5) - C(6)	11	-6



Fig. 1. The two independent molecules of (I) linked by a hydrogen bond. (Hydrogen atoms are omitted from the diagram.)

Each five-membered ring is a shallow envelope, with C(5) below the plane of C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4) when the molecule is oriented as in (II), and the cyclobutane rings are puckered. The degree of puckering is about 50% higher in molecule A than in B, and this suggests that the molecule is somewhat flexible, since packing forces are unlikely to be very different for the two cases.

JM-R thanks Glaxo Group Research (Ware) Ltd for financial support.

References

- DIMSDALE, M. J., NEWTON, R. F., RAINEY, D. K., WEBB, C. F., LEE, T. V. & ROBERTS, S. M. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. p. 716.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, p. 99. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX 76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.